their discretion in the best interests of the district board administration.

In conclusion, he assured the House that as a result of the nomination of 5 candidates objected to by the movers of the adjournment motion, it could not be contended that the whole principle of democracy had been thrown overboard or that the freedom of the large body of elected members had been imperilled.

The adjournment motion was not pressed.

At 5-10 p.m., the Assembly adjourned to meet again at 8 a.m., on the following day.

SEVENTH DAY.

Saturday, 15th June 1940.

The House reassembled at the Jagan Mohan Palace Pavilion on Saturday, 15th June 1940, at 8 of the clock, Mr. President (*Amin-ul-Mulk* Sir Mirza M. Ismail, K.C.I.E., O.B.E., Dewan) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS *concld.*

Supervision of the Affairs of the Sringeri and Yelandur Jahgirs.

Q.—49. Mr. H. M. MALLIKARJUNAPPA (Tarikere Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the management and supervision of the affairs of the Sringeri and Yelandur Jahgirs are vested in Government;

(*b*) the Deputy Commissioners of which districts are supervising their affairs;

(*c*) when these officers visit these jahgirs, from what source is their travelling allowance met;

(*d*) whether, in the District Offices where the jahgir correspondence is attended to, there are separate clerks paid out of jahgir funds;

(*e*) if not, whether any allowance is being paid to the Government staff who attend to this work;

(*f*) for what further period do the Government contemplate retaining the management of these jahgirs?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Rajasevaprasaktha B. T. Kesavalengar).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The Deputy Commissioners of Kadur and Mysore Districts, respectively.

(*c*) From general revenues.

(*d*) *Sringeri Jahgir.*—The appointment of a whole-time clerk on Rs. 25 per mensem in the Kadur District Office for attending to the work relating to Sringeri Jahgir has recently been sanctioned tentatively for a period of one year (*vide* G. O. No. 2222-4—Muz. 283-39-2, dated the 29th April 1940). His pay is met from the jahgir funds.

Yelandur Jahgir.—There is no whole-time clerk in the Mysore District Office for attending to the correspondence relating to Yelandur Jahgir. A clerk on Rs. 30 per mensem in Nanjangud Sub-Division Office attends to this work. His pay is debited to jahgir funds.

(*e*) *Sringeri Jahgir.*—An allowance of Rs. 5 per mensem to the clerk in Chikmagalur Taluk Office who attends to the correspondence of Belavadi

and other *inam* villages of Sringeri Jahgir, and to the Revenue Inspector of Lakya Hobli of the said taluk, who attends to the collection and other works of these villages, respectively, has recently been sanctioned, the expenditure in this connection being debited to the jahgir funds.

Yelandur Jahgir.—No.

(*f*) It is not possible to state this definitely at present.

Mr. M. SUBBIAH.—Why should the travelling allowance of the officers be met from the general revenues instead of from jahgir funds?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It is met from the general revenues of the State, because the officers attend to the work of the jahgirs along with other work. Only the jahgir establishment is paid exclusively from jahgir funds.

Mr. M. SUBBIAH.—Supposing an officer goes direct to one of the jahgirs and returns to his headquarters, to which head of account will the expenditure be debited in that case?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Even then it will be debited to the general revenues of the State because the officers may do other Government work on the way.

Mr. M. SUBBIAH.—Since the officers attend to the work of both Government and the jahgirs, why not debit half the expenditure to the concerned jahgirs?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—After all, the raiyats of the jahgirs are also subjects of the State. If therefore the State officers attend to any work on behalf of those raiyats, it does not look proper to debit the cost to jahgir accounts.

Mr. M. SUBBIAH.—Is it not a fact that all this supervision and the cost thereof have been necessitated by the fact that the jahgirdars are not in a position to look after the properties?

The FIRST MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina N. Madhava Rau).—Even otherwise, the officers of Government would have to visit the jahgirs on inspection work.

Mr. M. SUBBIAH.—What is the expenditure incurred in this connection every year?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Not more than a couple of hundred rupees.

Mr. C. SUBBANNA NAIK.—Is there any difference as between the rights and privileges enjoyed by the raiyats of the two jahgirs?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Each of the two jahgirs is governed by a separate Act and I would refer the member to those Acts.

Mr. C. SUBBANNA NAIK.—Is it not a fact that in the Sringeri Jahgir, Government first ordered that the Deputy Commissioner's travelling allowances, etc., should be debited to the local fund account and subsequently modified the order?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—That is not a supplementary question.

Women Teachers in Combined High Schools.

Q.—50. Mr. H. M. MALLIKARJUNAPPA (Tarikere Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state why they have not appointed women teachers in the combined high schools?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. J. Appaji Gowda).—

There are no combined high schools for boys and girls, but there are boys' high schools, which are attended by girl pupils also. It is not considered necessary and desirable to appoint lady teachers in such schools.

Recruitment of Educated Men from the Villages to Government Service.

Q.—52. Mr. Gowdara GURUSANTHAPPA (Davangere Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) how many educated people belonging to the villages and taluks of Chitaldrug District are employed in Government Service;

(*b*) how many such educated people of other districts are employed in Government service in the State?